

Substructures Of $[M, N]$

H. Hakmi⁽¹⁾

Received 25/11/2012

Accepted 04/03/2013

ABSTRACT

Let M_R, N_R be two modules over a ring R and $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$, then $[M, N]$ is an (E_N, E_M) -bimodule. The concern is about the five substructures of $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$: the Jacobson radical $J[M, N]$, the singular ideal $\Delta[M, N]$, the co-singular ideal $\nabla[M, N]$, the total $\text{Tot}[M, N]$ and the $I[M, N]$. One natural question is to characterize when the total is equal to one or more of the other structures. Toward this question, many results have been obtained:

- (a) A module W_R is locally projective if and only if, $\text{Tot}[M, W] = \nabla[M, W]$ for every quasi-projective module $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (b) A module Q_R is locally injective if and only if, $\text{Tot}[Q, N] = \Delta[Q, N]$ for every quasi-injective module $N \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (c) A module N_R with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ is an I -module if and only if, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for every module $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (d) A module N_R with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ is an I -module if and only if, for every $a \in E_N$ with $\text{Im}(1-a) \subseteq J(N)$, is one-to-one.

Keywords: $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$, Semipotent ring, Jacobson radical, (co)singular ideal, the total.

Mathematical Subject, Classification (MSC 2010): Primary: 16D10, 16N20, 16S50; Secondary: 16D40, 16D50.

⁽¹⁾ Prof., Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Damascus University, Syria.

البنى الجزئية لـ $[M, N]$

حمزة حاكمي⁽¹⁾

تاريخ الإيداع 2012/11/25

قبل للنشر في 2013/03/04

الملخص

ليكن M_R و N_R مودولين فوق الحلقة R و $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$ عندئذ $[M, N]$ يكون $(E_N, E_M) -$ مودولاً ثنائياً. هدفنا هو دراسة البنى الجزئية الخمس في $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ وهي: جذر جاكبسون $J[M, N]$ ، والمثالي المفرد $\Delta[M, N]$ ، والمثالي المزدوج $\nabla[M, N]$ ، والتوتال $\text{Tot}[M, N]$ ، والمثالي $I[M, N]$. أحد الأسئلة الطبيعية هي توصيف الحالة التي من أجلها يكون التوتال مساوياً إحدى البنى الجزئية الخمس السابقة. في معرض الإجابة عن هذا التساؤل تم الحصول على عدد من النتائج الجديدة أهمها:

1. الشرط اللازم والكافي كي يكون المودول W_R مودولاً إسقاطياً محلياً هو أن يكون $\text{Tot}[M, W] = \nabla[M, W]$ وذلك لأجل أي مودول شبه إسقاطي $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
2. الشرط اللازم والكافي كي يكون المودول Q_R مودولاً أفقياً محلياً هو أن يكون $\text{Tot}[Q, N] = \Delta[Q, N]$ وذلك لأجل أي مودول شبه أفقي $N \in \text{mod} - R$.
3. ليكن N_R مودولاً من أجله $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. الشرط اللازم والكافي كي يكون المودول N_R هو $I -$ مودول هو أن يكون $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ ؛ وذلك لأجل أي مودول $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
4. ليكن N_R مودولاً من أجله $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. الشرط اللازم والكافي كي يكون المودول N_R هو $I -$ مودول هو أن يتحقق الشرط: أياً كان $a \in E_N$ الذي من أجله $\text{Im}(1-a) \subseteq J(N)$ ، فإن التشاكل a هو تشاكل واحد لواحد.

الكلمات المفتاحية: $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ ، الحلقات شبه الجامعة، جذر جاكبسون، المثالي المنفرد، المثالي المزدوج، التوتال.

التصنيف الرياضي العالمي للعام (2010): أولاً: $16D10, 16N20, 16S50$; ثانياً: $16D50$ و $16D40$.

⁽¹⁾ استاذ، قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة دمشق، سورية.

1. Introduction.

In this paper rings R are associative with identity unless otherwise indicated. All modules over a ring R are unitary right modules. A submodule N of a module M is said to be small in M if $N + K \neq M$ for any proper submodule K of M , [5]. A submodule N of a module M is said to be large (essential) in M if $N \cap K \neq 0$ for any nonzero submodule K of M , [5]. If M is an R -module, the radical of M denoted by $J(M)$ is defined to be the intersection of all maximal submodules of M . Also, $J(M)$ coincides with the sum of all small submodules of M . It happens that M has no maximal submodules in which case $J(M) = M$, [9]. Thus, for a ring R , $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R . For a submodule N of a module M , we use $N \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ to mean that N is a direct summand of M , and we write $N \leq_e M$ and $N \ll M$ to indicate that N is a large, respectively small, submodule of M . If M_R is a module, we use the notation $E_M = \text{End}_R(M)$ and we write $I(E_M) = \{a : a \in E_M; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(M)\}$. It is well known that $I(E_M)$ is an ideal in E_M , [5].

2. Substructures of Hom.

Following [3,12,13], let M_R, N_R are modules and $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$, then $[M, N]$ is an (E_N, E_M) -bimodule. The bimodule $[M, N]$ has three radicals: the Jacobson radical of $[M, N]_{E_M}$, the Jacobson radical of ${}_{E_N}[M, N]$ and the important Jacobson radical denoted by $J[M, N]$ defined by

- The Jacobson radical

$$J[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ba \in J(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$$

$$J[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ab \in J(E_N) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$$

Thus, $J[M, M] = J(E_M)$. In particular, $J[R, R] = J(R)$.

- The total

$\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; a [N, M] \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}$

$\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; [N, M]a \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}$

Thus,

$\text{Tot}[M, M] = \text{Tot}(E_M) = \{a : a \in E_M; a E_M \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}$
 $= \{a : a \in E_M; E_M a \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}.$

- The singular ideal $\Delta[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; \text{Ker}(a) \leq_e M\}$.

In particular, $\Delta[M, M] = \Delta E_M$.

- The co-singular ideal $\nabla[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; \text{Im}(a) \ll N\}$.

In particular, $\nabla[M, M] = \nabla E_M$.

- Following[3], we use the nation $I[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)\}$.

In particular, $I(E_M) = I[M, M] = \{a : a \in E_M; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(M)\}$.

It is easy to see that $J[M, N]$, $\nabla[M, N]$, and $\Delta[M, N]$ are contained in $\text{Tot}[M, N]$.

In particular, $J(E_M)$, $\nabla(E_M)$ and $\Delta(E_M)$ are contained in $\text{Tot}(E_M)$.

In the study of the total, one of the interesting questions is when the total equals the Jacobson radical. Toward this question, many results have been obtained for module M which satisfies: every its submodule not contained in $J(M)$ contains a nonzero projective direct summand of M . We start with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Let M_R, N_R be modules. Then:

(1) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; b a \in \text{Tot}(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$.

(2) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; a b \in \text{Tot}(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$.

Proof. (1). Let $a \in \text{Tot}[M, N]$. suppose that $b a \notin \text{Tot}(E_M)$ for some $b \in [N, M]$ then there exists $g \in E_M$ such that $0 \neq g(ba) = [g(ba)]^2 \in E_M$. Since $g b \in [N, M]$ then

$0 \neq g(ba) = [(gb)a]^2 \in [N, M]a$, a contradiction. Let $a \in [M, N]$ such that $ba \in \text{Tot}(E_M)$ for all $b \in [N, M]$. Suppose that $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$ then $[N, M]a$ contains a nonzero idempotent. So there exists $g \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ga = (ga)^2 \in E_M$ and $ga \in (ga)E_M$, so $ga \notin \text{Tot}(E_M)$, a contradiction. Similarly (2) holds.

In particular, if for a ring R , $\text{Tot}(R) = J(R)$, then a ring R is called semipotent ring [3], or I_0 - ring in [2].

Lemma 2.2. [11, Lemma 1.2]. Let A_R, B_R, C_R, D_R be modules. Then:

$$[B, D] \circ J[A, B] \circ [C, A] \subseteq J[C, D].$$

Lemma 2.3. Let M_R, N_R be modules such that $\text{Tot}[M, N] = J[M, N]$ and $a \in [M, N]$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $a \in J[M, N]$.
- (2) $ab \in J(E_N)$ for every $b \in [N, M]$.
- (3) $ma \in J(E_M)$ for every $m \in [N, M]$.
- (4) $dad \in J[N, M]$ for every $d \in [N, M]$.

Proof. It is clear that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (1) \Leftrightarrow (3). (1) \Rightarrow (4) by lemma 2.2.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that $a \notin J[M, N]$, then $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$. Thus, there exists $d \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq d = dad \in [N, M]$. Since by assumption $d \in J[N, M]$ and $0 \neq ad = (ad)^2 \in J(E_N)$ a contradiction.

Lemma 2.4. *Let M_R, W_R be modules such that $\text{Tot}[M, W] = \nabla[M, W]$ and let $a \in [M, W]$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Im}(a) \ll W$.
- (2) $ab \in \nabla(E_W)$ for every $b \in [W, M]$.
- (3) $ma \in \nabla(E_M)$ for every $m \in [W, M]$.
- (4) $dad \in \nabla[W, M]$ for every $d \in [W, M]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) it is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Consider $ma \notin \nabla(E_M)$ for some $m \in [W, M]$. Then $a \notin \nabla[M, W] = \text{Tot}[M, W]$, so there exists $g \in [W, M]$ such that $0 \neq ag = (ag)^2 \in E_W$. By assumption $ag \in \nabla(E_W)$, so $ag = 0$ a contradiction. (3) \Rightarrow (4) it is clear hence $\text{Im}(dad) \subseteq \text{Im}(da)$ for every $d \in [W, M]$. (4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $\text{Im}(a)$ not small in W , then $a \notin \nabla[M, W] = \text{Tot}[M, W]$, so there exists $d \in [W, M]$ such that $0 \neq da = (da)^2 \in E_M$, by assumption $da \in \nabla(E_M)$, so $da = 0$ a contradiction.

A module V_R is said to be quasi-projective [10], if given an epimorphism $l: V \rightarrow W$ and any morphism $a: V \rightarrow W$ there exists $m: V \rightarrow V$ such that $lm = a$. Recall that a module W_R is locally projective [6], if for every submodule $B \subseteq W$, which is not small in W there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq P \subseteq^{\oplus} W$ with $P \subseteq B$. F. Kasch in [6], proved that a module W is locally projective if and only if, $\text{Tot}[M, W] = \nabla[M, W]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$. The next result gives new characterizes of this module.

Proposition 2.5. *Let W_R be a module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A module W_R is locally projective.

- (2) $\text{Tot}[M, W] = \nabla [M, W]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
 (3) $\text{Tot}[P, W] = \nabla [P, W]$ for every quasi-projective module $P \in \text{mod} - R$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). By [6, Theorem 2.2]. (2) \Rightarrow (3). It is clear. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let B be a not small submodule of W . By [1, Theorem 2.3] denote by $s : P \rightarrow B$ a projection extension of B (i.e., P can be free) and by $t : B \rightarrow W$ the inclusion. Then, for $f = ts : P \rightarrow W$, $\text{Im}(f) = B$, by assumption $f \notin \nabla[P, W]$. Since any projective module is quasi-projective, there exists $g \in \nabla[W, P]$ such that $0 \neq gf = (gf)^2 \in E_P$. Then by [6, Lemma 1.1], there exists $0 \neq P_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ and $0 \neq B_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} W$ such that

$$P_0 \ni x \rightarrow f(x) \in B_0$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, B_0 is a nonzero projective direct summand of W contained in B .

Lemma 2.6. Let Q_R, N_R be modules such that $\text{Tot}[Q, N] = \Delta [Q, N]$ and $a \in [Q, N]$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{Ker}(a) \leq_e Q$.
 (2) $ma \in \Delta(E_Q)$ for every $m \in [N, Q]$.
 (3) $ab \in \Delta(E_N)$ for every $b \in [N, Q]$.
 (4) $dad \in \Delta[N, Q]$ for every $d \in [N, Q]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) it is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Consider $ab \notin \Delta(E_N)$ for some $b \in [N, Q]$, then $\text{Ker}(ab)$ is not large in N . Since $\text{Ker}(ab) = b^{-1}(\text{Ker}(a))$, follows $a \notin \Delta[Q, N] = \text{Tot}[Q, N]$. So there exists $g \in [N, Q]$ such that $0 \neq ga = (ga)^2 \in E_Q$, hence by assumption $ga \in \Delta(E_Q)$, follows $ga = 0$ a contradiction. (3) \Rightarrow (4).

We have $\text{Ker}(ad) \subseteq \text{Ker}(dad)$ for every $d \in [N, Q]$. By assumption $ad \in \Delta(E_N)$, so $dad \in \Delta[N, Q]$. (4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $\text{Ker}(a)$ is not large in Q , then $a \notin \Delta[Q, N] = \text{Tot}[Q, N]$, so there exists $d \in [N, Q]$ such that $d = dad \notin \Delta[N, Q]$ a contradiction.

A module M_R is called quasi-injective [8] if, for every submodule D of M and every $f \in [D, M]$ there exists $g \in E_M$ such that $gt = f$, where $t : D \rightarrow M$ the inclusion. Recall a module Q_R is locally injective [6] if, for every submodule $B \subseteq Q$, which is not large in Q , there exists an injective submodule $0 \neq V \subseteq Q$, with $B \cap V = 0$. F. Kasch in [6], proved that a module Q is locally injective if and only if, $\text{Tot}[Q, N] = \Delta[Q, N]$ for all $N \in \text{mod} - R$. The next result gives new characterizes of this module:

Theorem 2.7. Let Q_R be a module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A module Q_R is locally projective.
- (2) $\text{Tot}[Q, N] = \Delta[Q, N]$ for all $N \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (3) $\text{Tot}[Q, U] = \Delta[Q, U]$ for every quasi-injective module $U \in \text{mod} - R$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) by [6, Theorem 2.2]. (2) \Rightarrow (3) it is clear. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let K be a not large submodule of Q . By [1, Theorem 2.3] denote by $n : Q \rightarrow Q/K$ the natural epimorphism with $\text{Ker}(n) = K$, and let $t : Q/K \rightarrow I$ be a monomorphism into an injective module I (e.g., I is the injective hull of Q/K). Then, for $g = tn$, $\text{Ker}(g) = K$ and by assumption $g \notin \Delta[Q, I]$. Since any injective module is quasi-injective, there exists $y \in \Delta[I, Q]$ such that $0 \neq gy = (gy)^2 \in E_I$. Then by [6, Lemma 1.1], there exists $0 \neq I_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} I$ and $Q_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} Q$ such

that $I_0 \ni x \rightarrow g(x) \in Q_0$ is an isomorphism. Thus, Q_0 and I_0 are injective, Q_0 is a direct summand of Q and $Q_0 \cap K = 0$.

Following [3], let M_R be a module and $K \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ then $K \subseteq J(M)$ if and only if $K \cap J(M) = J(K)$. Putting $\Gamma(M) = \{K : K \subseteq^{\oplus} M; J(K) = K\}$. Note that for any projective module P , $\Gamma(P) = \{0\}$. In addition to, if $J(M) \ll M$ for some module $M \in \text{mod} - R$ then $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$. A module M_R is called an I -module, if for every submodule K of M , $K \not\subseteq J(M)$ there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq B \subseteq^{\oplus} M$, with $B \subseteq K$.

Lemma 2.8. Let M_R, N_R be modules such that $\Gamma(M) = \Gamma(N) = \{0\}$, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ and let $a \in I[M, N]$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)$.
- (2) $ab \in I(E_N)$ for every $b \in [N, M]$.
- (3) $ma \in I(E_M)$ for every $m \in [N, M]$.
- (4) $dad \in I[N, M]$ for every $d \in [N, M]$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) it is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Consider $ma \notin I(E_M)$ for some $m \in [N, M]$. Then $a \notin I[M, N] = \text{Tot}[M, N]$, so there exists $g \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ag = (ag)^2 \in E_N$. Thus by assumption $ag \in I(E_N)$, therefore $\text{Im}(ag) \in \Gamma(N) = \{0\}$, so $ag = 0$ a contradiction. (3) \Rightarrow (4). We have by assumption $da \in I(E_M)$ for every $d \in [N, M]$ so $\text{Im}(da) \subseteq J(M)$, therefore $\text{Im}(dad) \subseteq \text{Im}(da) \subseteq J(M)$, thus $dad \in I[N, M]$. (4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $\text{Im}(a) \not\subseteq J(N)$, then $a \notin I[M, N] = \text{Tot}[M, N]$, so there exists $d \in [N, M]$ such that $d = dad \notin I[N, M]$, a contradiction with our assumption.

Kasch and Mader in [7], started to study conditions on modules W , and Q for which imply that $\text{Tot}[M, W] = \nabla[M, W] = J[M, W]$ and $\text{Tot}[Q, N] = \Delta[Q, N] = J[Q, N]$ for every $M, N \in \text{mod} - R$. Next we characterize the right module N_R for which $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let N_R be a module and $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *A module N is an I -module.*
- (2) *$\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.*
- (3) *$\text{Tot}[P, N] = I[P, N]$ for every quasi-projective module $P \in \text{mod} - R$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $a \in \text{Tot}[M, N]$, suppose that $a \notin I[M, N]$, by assumption there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq B \subseteq^{\oplus} N$, with $B \subseteq \text{Im}(a)$. By the modular law $B \subseteq^{\oplus} \text{Im}(a)$. Denote by $p : \text{Im}(a) \rightarrow B$ the projection. Since B is projective, the epimorphism $pa : M \rightarrow B$ splits, hence $M = D \oplus \text{Ker}(pa)$ for some submodule D of M and $D \ni x \rightarrow pa(x) \in B$ is an isomorphism. By [6, Lemma 1.1 and 1.2] there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ba = (ba)^2 \in E_N$ and $ba \in [N, M]a$, a contradiction. Consider $a \in I[M, N]$, if $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$ there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ba = (ba)^2 \in E_N$, so $\text{Im}(ba) \in \Gamma(N) = \{0\}$, a contradiction, thus $I[M, N] \subseteq \text{Tot}[M, N]$. (2) \Rightarrow (3) it is clear. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let K be a submodule of N with $K \not\subseteq J(N)$. By [1, Theorem 2.3], denote by $d : P \rightarrow K$ a projective extension of K (i.g., P can be free) and by $t : K \rightarrow N$ the inclusion. Then, for $g = td$, $\text{Im}(g) = K \not\subseteq J(N)$, and $g \notin I[P, N]$. Since any projective module is quasi-projective, then by assumption there exists $l : N \rightarrow P$ such that $0 \neq lg = (lg)^2 \in E_P$. Then by [6, Lemma 1.1] there exists $0 \neq P_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ and $K_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ such that $P_0 \ni x \rightarrow g(x) \in K_0$ is an isomorphism. Thus, K_0 is a nonzero projective direct summand of N contained in K .

Lemma 2.10. [7, Corollary 1.10]. For arbitrary modules $M, W, X, Y \in \text{mod} - R$ we have:

$$[W, Y] \circ \text{Tot}[M, W] \circ [X, M] \subseteq \text{Tot}[X, Y].$$

Theorem 2.11. Let M_R, N_R be modules with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$.
- (2) For any $a \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ there exists $0 \neq P \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ such that $\text{Ker}(a) \cap P = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $a \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ then $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$, so there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ba = (ba)^2 \in E_M$. By [6, Lemma 1.1] there exist direct summands $0 \neq A \subseteq^{\oplus} M, B \subseteq^{\oplus} N$, such that the mapping $A \ni a \rightarrow a(a) \in B$ is an isomorphism, so $\text{Ker}(a) \cap B = 0$. (2) \Rightarrow (1). It is clear that $I[M, N] \subseteq \text{Tot}[M, N]$, hence $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. Let $a \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$, by our hypothesis there exists $0 \neq P \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ such that $\text{Ker}(a) \cap P = 0$. Let $p: M \rightarrow P$ be the projection and let $b \in [a(P), M]$ given by $ba(x) = x$ for all $x \in P$, then $bap \in E_M$. In addition to, for every $x \in P$, $bap(x) = ba(x) = x$, therefore $bap = I_{a(P)}$. Since $bap = I_{a(P)} \notin \text{Tot}(E_{a(P)})$, follows (by lemma 2.10, for $X = Y$) that $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$. So, $\text{Tot}[M, N] \subseteq I[M, N]$.

A module M_R is called I -module [4], if for every submodule K of M such that $K \not\subseteq J(M)$ there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ with $W \subseteq K$. Recall that a ring R is a semipotent ring [3], if every principal right ideal not contained in $J(R)$ contains a nonzero idempotent. Next we characterize the right module N_R for

which $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N] = J[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$. We need the following theorem:

Theorem 2.12. [4, Theorem 2.10]. Let N_R be a module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The module N_R is an I -module.
- (2) $[M, N]$ is an I -semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (3) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.

Proposition 2.13. Let N_R be a module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N] = J[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (2) N_R is an I -module with E_N semipotent.
- (3) N_R is an I -module such that every $d \in E_N$ with $\text{Im}(1-d) \subseteq J(N)$ is one-to-one.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$ so by theorem 2.12, N_R is an I -module. On the other hand, since $\text{Tot}[M, N] = J[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$ then by [13, Theorem 4.1], E_N is semipotent. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $b \in E_N$ with $\text{Im}(1-b) \subseteq J(N)$, then $1-b \in I(E_N) = J(E_N)$ by assumption. Hence b is one-to-one. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Since N_R is an I -module, then by theorem 2.12, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$. On the other hand, it is clear that $J[M, N] \subseteq \text{Tot}[M, N]$. Let $a \in \text{Tot}[M, N]$ then $a \in I[M, N]$, so $\text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)$ and for all $b \in [N, M]$, $\text{Im}(ab) \subseteq \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)$, thus $\text{Im}(1-(1-ab)) = \text{Im}(ab) \subseteq J(N)$ and $ab \in E_N$ by assumption $1-ab$ is one-to-one for all $b \in [N, M]$, therefore $a \in J[M, N]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S. (1956). *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press .
- [2] Hakmi. H. (1998). I_0 - Rings and I_0 - Modules, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* Vol. 40, p. 91-97.
- [3] Hakm. H. (2012). *Semipotency and the total of rings and modules*, *Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.ajmsc.2012.10.001>.
- [4] Hakmi: H. *I - Semipotency and the Total of Modules*, *Damascus Univ. Journal for BASIC SCIENCES*. (to appear).
- [5] Kasch, F. (1982). *Modules and Rings*, Academic press London and New York.
- [6] Kasch, F. (2002). *Locally injective modules and locally projective modules*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 32(4) 1493-1504.
- [7] Kasch, F. and Mader, A. (2004). *Rings, Modules, and the Total*, *Front. Math. Birhauser Verlag, Basel*.
- [8] Lambek, J. (1976). *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Chelsea. New York.
- [9] Ware, R. (1971). *Endomorphism rings of projective modules*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 155, p.233-256.
- [10] Wu L. E. and Jans, J. P. (1967). *On Quasi-projective modules*, *Illinois J. Math.* 31, 263-276.
- [11] Tuganbaev, A. A. and Abyzov, A. N. (2010). *Homomorphisms close to regular and their applications*, *Fundamentalnaya i prikladnaya Matematika*, Vol. 16. No: 7. p.3-38.
- [12] Zhou, Y. (2009). *On (Semi) regularity and total of rings and modules*, *Journal of Algebra* 322 , p.562-578.
- [13] Zhou, Y. and Lee, T. (2011). *Substructures of Hom*, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*. Vol. 10. No. 1. P. 119-127.