

On (I-) Semipotent $[M, N]$

H. Hakmi

Department of Mathematics-Faculty of Sciences-Damascus University

Received 03/09/2009

Accepted 10/05/2010

ABSTRACT

Let M_R and N_R be modules, we use $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$, so $[M, N]$ is an (E_M, E_N) -bimodule. Some of the interesting questions are when the total equals the Jacobson radical, ΔE_M , ∇E_M and $I(E_M)$ for some module M_R . In this paper we study the question is when the total equals the ideal $I(E_M)$. New results obtained include: (1) A projective module P_R is an I_0 -module if and only if $E_P = \text{End}_R(P)$ is an I -semipotent. (2) A ring R is a semipotent ring if and only if, endomorphism ring of any projective module P_R is an I -semipotent. (3) For any projective module P_R ; $\text{Tot}(E_P) = I(E_P)$ if and only if, P is an I_0 -module. (4) For any ring R ; $\text{Tot}(R) = J(R)$ if and only if, $[M, P]$ is an I -semipotent for any projective module P_R and any module M_R which equivalent that, $\text{Tot}[M, P] = I[M, P]$ for any projective module P_R and any module M_R , which also, equivalent $[M, P]$ is semipotent for any finitely generated projective module P_R and any module M_R .

Key Words: (I-) Semipotent Rings, I_0 -Rings, I_0 -modules, The total, Jacobson radical, (co) singular ideal, Endomorphism rings, $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$.

حول المودولات $[M, N]$ الـ I – شبه جامدة

حمزة حاكمي

قسم الرياضيات – كلية العلوم – جامعة دمشق – سورية

تاريخ الإيداع 2009/09/03

قبل للنشر في 2010/05/10

الملخص

ليكن M_R و N_R مودولين فوق الحلقة R ولنفرض أن $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$ مجموعة جميع التشاكلات المودولية بين المودولين M و N . فنحصل بذلك على مودول ثنائي فوق الحلقات E_M و E_N .

إن الهدف من هذا البحث هو دراسة البنى الجزئية للمودول الثنائي $[M, N]$ ونذكر منها الأساس والمثالي المنفرد والمثالي المنفرد الثنوي والتوتال بشكل عام و $I[M, N]$ وعلاقته بالتوتال $Tot[M, N]$ بشكل خاص. وقد تم التوصل من خلال هذه الدراسة إلى نتائج جديدة منها:

1. المودول الإسقاطي P هو I_0 -مودول عندما فقط عندما تكون $E_P = \text{End}_R(P)$ عبارة عن حلقة I - شبه جامدة.
2. الحلقة R هي حلقة شبه جامدة عندما فقط عندما تكون حلقة التشاكلات E_P لأجل أي مودول إسقاطي P عبارة عن حلقة I - شبه جامدة.
3. لأجل أي مودول إسقاطي P_R فإن المودول P هو I_0 -مودول عندما فقط عندما $Tot(E_P) = I(E_P)$.
4. لأجل أي حلقة R فإن $Tot(R) = J(R)$ عندما فقط عندما المودول $[M, P]$ هو I - شبه جامد وذلك لأجل أي مودول إسقاطي $P \in \text{mod} - R$ ولأجل أي مودول إسقاطي $R - \text{mod}$ والذي يكافئ بدوره أن $Tot[M, P] = I[M, P]$ وذلك لأجل أي مودول إسقاطي $P \in \text{mod} - R$ ولأجل أي مودول $R - \text{mod}$ والذي أيضاً بدوره يكافئ المودول $[M, P]$ شبه جامد وذلك لأجل أي مودول إسقاطي منته التوليد $P \in \text{mod} - R$ ولأجل أي مودول $R - \text{mod}$.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الحلقة شبه الجامدة، I_0 -حلقة، I_0 -مودول، التوتال، أساس جاكيسون، المثالي المنفرد، حلقة التشاكلات لمودول، $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$.

Introduction.

In this paper rings R are associative with identity unless otherwise indicated. All modules over a ring R unitary right modules. A submodule N of a module M is said to be small in M if $N + K \neq M$ for any proper submodule K of M , [4]. A submodule N of a module M is said to be large (essential) in M if $N \cap K \neq 0$ for any nonzero submodule K of M [4]. If M is an R -module, the radical of M denoted by $J(M)$ is defined to be the intersection of all maximal submodules of M . Also, $J(M)$ coincides with the sum of all small submodules of M . It may happen that M has no maximal submodules in which case $J(M) = M$ [8]. Thus, for a ring R , $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R . For a submodule N of a module M , we use $N \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ to mean that N is a direct summand of M , and we write $N \leq_e M$ and $N \ll M$ to indicate that N is a large, respectively small, submodule of M . If M_R is a module, we use the notation $E_M = \text{End}_R(M)$ and we write $\Delta E_M = \{\alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \text{Ker}(\alpha) \leq_e M\}$, $\nabla E_M = \{\alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \text{Im}(\alpha) \ll M\}$ and $I(E_M) = \{\alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \text{Im}(\alpha) \subseteq J(M)\}$. It is well known that $\Delta E_M, \nabla E_M$ and $I(E_M)$ are ideals in E_M [4]. If M_R and N_R are modules, we use $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$. Thus $[M, N]$ is an (E_M, E_N) -bimodule. Our main concern is about the substructures of $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ and the semipotent of $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ (see [9]).

The total is a concept that was first introduced by Kasch [2] in 1982. In the study of the total, some of the interesting questions are when the total equals the Jacobson radical, $\Delta E_M, \nabla E_M$ and $I(E_M)$. In this paper we study the question is when the total equals the ideal $I(E_M)$. In section 2, it is proved that, for any two modules M_R, N_R , $[M, N]$ is an I -semipotent if and only if, for any $\alpha \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ there exists $\gamma \in [N, M]$ such that $\gamma\alpha\gamma = \gamma \notin I[N, M]$. Also, proved that if $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ (or, $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$) then $\text{Tot} [M, N] = I[M, N]$ if and only if, $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent. The main result states that a ring R is a semipotent ring if and only if, $\text{Tot} [M, P] = I[M, P]$ for any module

$M \in \text{mod} - R$ and any projective module $P \in \text{mod} - R$. Basic properties on I – semipotency of $[M,N]$ are proved in this section.

(I-) SEMIPOTENT

Recall a ring R is semipotent [5,3] if each one-sided ideal not contained in $J(R)$ contains a nonzero idempotent. The semipotent rings generalize as following:

Lemma 2.1. [7, Lemma 19]. The following conditions are equivalent for an ideal I of a ring R :

- (1) If $T \not\subseteq I$ is a right (resp. left) ideal there exists $e^2 = e \in T \setminus I$.
- (2) If $a \notin I$ there exists $e^2 = e \in aR \setminus I$ (resp. $e^2 = e \in Ra \setminus I$).
- (3) If $a \notin I$ there exists $x \in R$ such that $x = xax \notin I$.

Let R be a ring and I is an ideal of R , recall R is an I – semipotent [7], if the conditions in lemma 2.1, are satisfied. If $I = J(R)$ then R is semipotent if and only if R is I – semipotent.

Corollary 2.2. Let I be an ideal of a ring R . If R is I – semipotent then $J(R) \subseteq I$.

Proof. Suppose $J(R) \not\subseteq I$ there exists $a \in J(R)$, $a \notin I$, so $x = xax \notin I$ for some $x \in R$. Since $x \neq 0$ then $0 \neq (ax)^2 = ax \in J(R)$ this is a contradiction.

Let M_R be a module, letting

$$I = I(E_M) = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \text{Im}(\alpha) \subseteq J(M) \}$$

It is clear that $I = I(E_M)$ is an ideal in E_M and

$$I(E_M) = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \beta\alpha \in I(E_M), \text{ for all } \beta \in E_M \}$$

$$I(E_M) = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \alpha\beta \in I(E_M), \text{ for all } \beta \in E_M \}$$

Recall a projective module P is an I_0 – module if, any submodule A of P , $A \not\subseteq J(P)$ contains a nonzero direct summand of P .

Theorem 2.3. [3, Theorem 3.2]. Any projective module over semipotent ring is an I_0 – module.

Theorem 2.4. Let P be a projective module. The following are equivalent:

- (1) P is an I_0 – module.
- (2) For any $\alpha \in E_p, \alpha \notin I = I(E_p)$ there exists $0 \neq B \subseteq^{\oplus} P, B \subseteq Im(\alpha)$.
- (3) For any $\alpha \in E_p, \alpha \notin I, 0 \neq Im(\beta\alpha) \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ for some $\beta \in E_p$.
- (4) For any $\alpha \in E_p, \alpha \notin I, 0 \neq Im(\alpha\beta) \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ for some $\beta \in E_p$.
- (5) E_p is an I – semipotent ring.

Proof. We will proceed by showing that (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1).

(1) \Rightarrow (2). It is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\alpha \in E_p \setminus I$, then $Im(\alpha) \not\subseteq J(P)$ so there exists $0 \neq K \subseteq^{\oplus} P, K \subseteq Im(\alpha)$. Let β be the projection of P onto K then $0 \neq \beta^2 = \beta \in E_p$ and $Im(\beta) \subseteq Im(\alpha)$ therefore $Im(\beta\alpha) \subseteq Im(\beta) = K \subseteq^{\oplus} P$. So (3) holds.

(3) \Rightarrow (5). Let $\alpha \in E_p \setminus I$, then $0 \neq Im(\beta\alpha) \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ for some $\beta \in E_p$. Since $Im(\beta\alpha)$ is projective then $Ker(\beta\alpha) \subseteq^{\oplus} P$, by [8Lemma 3.1] there exists $0 \neq \mu \in E_p, (\beta\alpha)\mu(\beta\alpha) = \beta\alpha$. Thus $0 \neq (\mu\beta\alpha)^2 = \mu\beta\alpha \in (E_p)\alpha$ by Lemma 2.1 (2), E_p is I – semipotent.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Let $\alpha \in E_p \setminus I$, then there exists $\beta \in E_p$ such that $\beta = \beta\alpha\beta \notin I$. Since $0 \neq (\beta\alpha)^2 = (\beta\alpha) \in E_p$ then $0 \neq Im(\alpha\beta) \subseteq^{\oplus} P$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let A be a submodule of $P, A \not\subseteq J(P)$ then there exists a maximal submodule D of $P, A \not\subseteq D$ therefore $P = A + D$ by [1, Lemma 2.2] there exists $\alpha, \beta \in E_p, Im(\alpha) \subseteq A, Im(\beta) \subseteq D$ and $1 = \alpha + \beta$, furthermore $\alpha \notin I$, if $\alpha \in I$ then $P = Im(\alpha) + Im(\beta) \subseteq J(P) + D \subseteq D \subseteq P$ thus $P = D$ this is a contradiction, by our assumption there exists $\beta \in E_p, 0 \neq Im(\alpha\beta) \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ and $Im(\alpha\beta) \subseteq Im(\alpha) \subseteq A$. Thus P is an I_0 – module. Our proof is completed.

Theorem 2.5. For any ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a semipotent ring.
- (2) Each projective module $P \in mod - R$ is an I_0 – module.

(3) E_p is an I - semipotent ring for any projective module $P \in \text{mod} - R$, where $I = I(E_p)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By Theorem 2.3. (2) \Rightarrow (3). By Theorem 2.4.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Follows

from the fact that R_R is a projective module and $J(R) = I = I(R_R)$.

Let M_R, N_R be modules. We put

$$I[M, N] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \text{Im}(\alpha) \subseteq J(N) \}$$

It is clear that

$$I[M, N] \subseteq \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \beta\alpha \in I(E_M) \text{ for all } \beta \in [M, N] \}$$

$$I[M, N] \subseteq \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \beta\alpha \in I(E_N) \text{ for all } \beta \in [M, N] \}$$

Since any small submodule of N contained in $J(N)$ then $\nabla [M, N] \subseteq I[M, N]$. If $J(N) \ll N$ then $\nabla [M, N] = I[M, N]$. Thus, $I = I(E_M) = I[M, M] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in E_M; \text{Im}(\alpha) \subseteq J(M) \}$. In particular, for a ring R , $I(R) = I[R, R] = J[R, R] = J(R)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let M_R, N_R be modules. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) If $\alpha \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$, there exists $\beta \in [N, M]; 0 \neq \beta\alpha = (\beta\alpha)^2 \in E_M$, $\beta\alpha \notin I(E_M)$.

(2) If $\alpha \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$, there exists $\beta \in [N, M]; 0 \neq \alpha\beta = (\alpha\beta)^2 \in E_N$, $\alpha\beta \notin I(E_N)$.

(3) If $\alpha \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$, there exists $\gamma \in [N, M]; \gamma\alpha\gamma = \gamma \notin I[N, M]$.

Proof. Suppose (1) holds. Then $0 \neq \beta\alpha = (\beta\alpha)^2 \in E_M$ and $\beta\alpha \notin I(E_M)$ for some $\beta \in [N, M]$. By letting $\gamma = \beta\alpha\beta \in [N, M]$ we have $\gamma\alpha\gamma = \gamma \neq 0$ and $\gamma \notin I[N, M]$ because $\beta\alpha \notin I(E_M)$, giving (3). Suppose (3) holds. Then $0 \neq \gamma\alpha = (\alpha\gamma)^2 \in E_M$ and $\gamma\alpha \notin I(E_M)$ because $\gamma \notin I[N, M]$ gives (1). Similarly, the equivalence (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) holds.

We call that $[M, N]$ is I - semipotent if, the conditions in lemma 2.6 are satisfied. If $I[M, N] = J[M, N]$ then $[M, N]$ is semipotent if and only if $[M, N]$ is I - semipotent.

Lemma 2.7. Let M_R, N_R be modules. If $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent then $J[M, N] \subseteq I[M, N]$. In particular, if E_M is an I -semipotent ring then $J(E_M) \subseteq I(E_M)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in J[M, N]$. Suppose that $\alpha \notin I[M, N]$ there exists $\beta \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq \beta\alpha\beta = \beta \notin I[N, M]$. Since $\alpha \in J[M, N]$, then $0 \neq \alpha\beta = (\alpha\beta)^2 \in J(E_N)$ this is a contradiction.

Proposition 2.8. Let M_R, N_R be modules. If $[M, N]$ is an I -semipotent then the following hold:

- (1) $I[M, N] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \beta\alpha \in I(E_M) \text{ for all } \beta \in [N, M] \}$.
- (2) $I[M, N] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \alpha\beta \in I(E_N) \text{ for all } \beta \in [N, M] \}$.

Proof. Suppose $[M, N]$ is an I -semipotent.

- (1) It is clear that $I[M, N] \subseteq \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \beta\alpha \in I(E_M) \text{ for all } \beta \in [N, M] \}$.

Let $\alpha \in [M, N]$ such that $\beta\alpha \in I(E_M)$ for all $\beta \in [N, M]$ and Suppose that $\alpha \notin I[M, N]$ then there exists $0 \neq \gamma \in [N, M]$, such that

$\gamma\alpha\gamma = \gamma \notin I[N, M]$. Thus, $Im(\gamma) \subseteq Im(\gamma\alpha) \subseteq J(M)$ so $\gamma \in I[N, M]$

this is a contradiction, therefore $\alpha \in I[M, N]$. Proof (2) is analogous.

Let M_R be a module and $K \subseteq^{\oplus} M$, then $K \subseteq J(M)$ if and only if $J(K) = K \cap J(M) = K$. Putting

$$\Gamma(M) = \{ K : K \subseteq^{\oplus} M; J(K) = K \}$$

Not that for any projective module P , $\Gamma(P) = \{0\}$. In addition to, if $J(M) \ll M$ for some module $M \in mod - R$ then $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$.

Following [7], Let M_R, N_R be modules. The total

$$Tot[M, N] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; [N, M]\alpha \text{ contains no nonzero idempotent} \}$$

$$Tot[M, N] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M, N]; \alpha[N, M] \text{ contains no nonzero idempotent} \}$$

Lemma 2.9. Let M_R, N_R be modules then the following hold:

(1) If $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then for any $\alpha \in I[M, N]; [N, M]\alpha$ and $\alpha[N, M]$ contains no nonzero idempotents.

(2) If $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ then for any $\alpha \in I[M, N]; \alpha[N, M]$ and $[N, M]\alpha$ contains no nonzero idempotents.

Proof. (1). Suppose $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$. Let $\alpha \in I[M, N]$ and suppose there exists $\beta \in [N, M]$ such that $(\beta\alpha)^2 = \beta\alpha \in E_M$ then $Im(\beta\alpha) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ and $Im(\beta\alpha) \subseteq \beta(J(N)) \subseteq J(M)$ so $Im(\beta\alpha) \in \Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ and $Im(\beta\alpha) = 0$ thus $\beta\alpha = 0$. Since $Tot [M, N]$ contains no nonzero idempotents then $\alpha \in Tot [M, N]$ so $\alpha [N, M]$ contains no nonzero idempotents. Similarly (2) holds.

Corollary 2.10. The following hold:

- (1) Let M_R be a module with $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then $I[M, N] \subseteq Tot [M, N]$ for all $N \in mod - R$.
- (2) Let N_R be a module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ then $I[M, N] \subseteq Tot[M, N]$ for all $M \in mod - R$.

In particular, if M_R be a module and $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then $I(E_M) \subseteq Tot(E_M)$.

Proof follows immediately from lemma 2.9.

Theorem 2.11. Let M_R, N_R be modules then the following hold:

- (1) If $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then $Tot [M, N] = I[M, N]$ if and only if $[M, N]$ is I – semipotent.
- (2) If $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ then $Tot [M, N] = I[M, N]$ if and only if $[M, N]$ is I – semipotent.

In particular, if $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then $Tot [M, M] = I(E_M)$ if and only if E_M is an I – semipotent ring.

Proof.(1). Suppose $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$.

(\Rightarrow). Let $\alpha \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ then $\alpha \notin Tot [M, N]$. So $0 \neq (\beta\alpha)^2 = \beta\alpha \in E_M$ for some $\beta \in [N, M]$ and $\beta\alpha \notin I(E_M)$ because $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$. This shows that $[M, N]$ is I – semipotent.

(\Leftarrow). We have by corollary 2.10(1), $I[M, N] \subseteq Tot [M, N]$. Let $\alpha \in Tot [M, N]$ and suppose $\alpha \notin I[M, N]$. So, for any $\beta \in [N, M]$, either $\alpha\beta = 0$ or $\alpha\beta \neq (\alpha\beta)^2$. Hence $[M, N]$ is not I – semipotent. Similarly (2) holds.

Corollary 2.12. Let P be a projective module. The following are equivalent:

- (1) P is an I_0 – module.
- (2) E_p is an I – semipotent ring.
- (3) $Tot(E_p) = I(E_p)$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). By Theorem 2.4. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). By Theorem 2.11, because $\Gamma(P) = \{0\}$.

Proposition 2.13. Let P be a projective module. The following are equivalent:

- (1) E_p is an I – semipotent ring.
- (2) $[M,P]$ is I – semipotent for all module $M \in mod - R$.

Proof. Suppose (1) holds. Let $\alpha \in [M,P] \setminus I[M,P]$ then $Im(\alpha) \not\subseteq J(P)$ by corollary 2.12, there exists $0 \neq K \subseteq^{\oplus} P$, $K \subseteq Im(\alpha)$. Let μ be the projection of P onto K then $0 \neq \mu^2 = \mu \in E_p$ and $Im(\mu) = Im(\mu\alpha) = K$. Since P is projective there exists $\beta \in [P,M]$ such that $\mu\alpha\beta = \mu$ therefore $\beta\mu\alpha\beta = \beta\mu \in [P,M]$. Since for any $x \in P$, $\mu(x) \in P$ then $\beta\mu\alpha\beta\mu(x) = \beta\mu(x) \in [P,M]$ thus $\beta\mu\alpha\beta\mu = \beta\mu \in [P,M]$ and $0 \neq \beta\mu \notin I[P,M]$. By Lemma 2.6, $[M,P]$ is I – semipotent, so (2) holds. Suppose (2) holds. Then $[P,P] = E_p$ is I – semipotent.

Theorem 2.14. The following conditions are equivalent for any ring R :

- (1) R is a semipotent ring.
- (2) $Tot(R) = J(R)$.
- (3) E_p is an I – semipotent ring for any projective module $P \in mod - R$.
- (4) $Tot(E_p) = I(E_p)$ for any projective module $P \in mod - R$.
- (5) $[M,P]$ is I – semipotent for any projective module $P \in mod - R$ and any module $M \in mod - R$.

- (6) $Tot [M,P] = I[M,P]$ for any projective module $P \in mod - R$ and any module $M \in mod - R$.
- (7) E_p is a semipotent ring for any finitely generated projective module $P \in mod - R$.
- (8) $[M,P]$ is semipotent for any finitely generated projective module $P \in mod - R$ and any module $M \in mod - R$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). By [11, Theorem 2.2]. (1) \Leftrightarrow (3). By Theorem 2.5. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). By Corollary 2.12. (3) \Leftrightarrow (5). By Proposition 2.13. (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). By Theorem 2.11, since for any projective module P , $\Gamma(P) = \{0\}$ and for any module M . (3) \Rightarrow (7). Obvious. (7) \Rightarrow (3). Since $J(P) \ll P$ then $Tot(E_p) = J(E_p) = I(E_p)$. So (3) holds. (7) \Leftrightarrow (8). By proposition 2.13, because $J(P) \ll P$.

Corollary 2.15. Let P be an I_0 -projective module then for any module $M \in mod - R$; $I[M,P] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M,P]; \alpha\beta \in I(E_p) \text{ for all } \beta \in [P,M] \}$.

Proof. Since P is an I_0 -projective then by corollary 2.12, E_p is an I -semipotent ring and by proposition 2.13, $[M,P]$ is an I -semipotent for all $M \in mod - R$. Since $\Gamma(P) = \{0\}$ then by proposition 2.8(2), $I[M,P] = \{ \alpha : \alpha \in [M,P]; \alpha\beta \in I(E_p) \text{ for all } \beta \in [P,M] \}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Azumaya: (1991); F- Semi-perfect modules, J. Algebra, 136, p.73-85.
- [2] F. Kasch: (1982); Moduln mit LE-Zerlegung und harada-Moduln, Lecture Notes University of Munich.
- [3] H. Hamza: (1988); I_0 – Rings and I_0 – Modules, Math. J. Okayama Univ. Vol. 40, p.91-97.
- [4] F. Kasch: (1982); Modules and Rings, London New York.
- [5] W. K. Nicholson: (1975); I – Rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 207, p.361-373.
- [6] W. K. Nicholson: (1997); Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 229, 269-278.
- [7] W. K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou: (2005); Strong Lifting, J. Algebra, 285 (2), 795-818.
- [8] R. Ware: (1971); Endomorphism rings of projective modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155, p.233-256.
- [9] Y. Zhou: (2009); On (Semi)regularity and total of rings and modules, Journal of Algebra 322 , p.562-578.